

MONTHLY REPORT By Interim Executive Director Pedro Payne & Staff January 2006

INTRODUCTION:

The monthly report is a monthly update of the activities of the City of Riverside Community Police Review Commission and gives the staff a chance to pass on information that they feel is important or noteworthy. The information provided in the twelve monthly reports forms the basis for the annual report.

NEW RPD LIAISON FOR CPRC:

In January of 2006 the CPRC and the RPD agreed to implement a RPD/CPRC Liaison program. The program would designate a police liaison to attend all CPRC meetings. The purpose would be to assist CPRC commissioners in clarifying issues pertaining to policy and procedures. Captain Pete Esquivel was appointed by the Chief of Police to perform that function. He attended his first meeting January 18, 2006.

RPD DIVERSITY TRAINING:

The CPRC Interim Executive Director, along with Captain Pete Esquivel and other qualified professionals from area universities, have begun to review the current RPD Diversity Training Model and study how the model may be supplemented to include various local cultural elements and local neighborhood history. The first meeting took place on January 20 with a second meeting scheduled for February 17, 2006. The Commission will be kept informed as this effort moves forward.

OUTREACH:

The Interim Executive Director and various commissioners attended 6 meetings or community events.

Meeting / Event	Activity
New Officer Orientation	Presenter
Latino Network	Attended; 1-on-1
Project Bridge	Attended
Mayor's Multicultural Forum	Attended
Jeffrey Owen's Community Center Committee	Presenter; attended
State of the City	Attended: 1-on-1

A number of local organizations and groups have taken advantage of the opportunity to have CPRC commissioners come to their meetings and discuss the Commission and its work. If you would like to have a commissioner speak at one of your meetings, please call the office at (951) 826-5509.

January 2006 Page 1

WORKLOAD – January 2006:

Cases Received	Lodged	Filed through CPRC	Filed through RPD	
	1	1	4	

A complaint is considered lodged when a citizen makes a complaint to the CPRC; it is filed when the completed complaint form is submitted.

Case Dispositions	Cases Reviewed	Withdrawn*	Administratively Closed**		
	14	0	0		

*Withdrawn complaint occurs when a member of the public requests to withdraw their complaint.

**Administrative Closure occurs when a case is closed for reasons other than being reviewed or being classified as Inquiries.

Allogations	U/F	Disc/SH	IDF	ISS	FA	FR	CC	MC	UD
Allegations	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	16	2

U/F = Use of Force; Disc/SH = Discrimination/Sexual Harassment; IDF = Improper Discharge of Firearms; ISS = Illegal Search or Seizure; FA = False Arrest; FR = False Reporting; CC = Criminal Conduct; MC = Misconduct / Misconduct Noted; UD = Undetermined

Findings	Unfounded	Exonerated	Not Sustained	Sustained	Inquiry
Fillulings	8	5	3	2	1

Unfounded - The alleged act did not occur. Exonerated - The alleged act occurred but was justified, legal and proper. Not Sustained - The investigation produced insufficient information to prove or disprove the allegation. Sustained - The Department member committed all or part of the alleged acts of misconduct or poor service. Inquiry - a member of the public is merely requesting clarification of a policy or procedure.

Misconduct Noted

During investigations of alleged misconduct, all aspects of an officer's actions are inspected. When a policy violation is discovered beyond that alleged by the complainant, it is classified as "Misconduct Noted" and, by definition, is a "Sustained" finding. Of the cases reviewed this month, **no** allegations of "Misconduct Noted" were discovered.

Cases on Hold

There are currently <u>no</u> cases on hold in our office. Held cases have either been returned to RPD for further investigation or are being held pending further investigation by the CPRC investigator.

Case Processing Analysis for Cases Reviewed in January 2006

This chart reflects the average number of days the Police Department and CPRC took to process Category 1 and Category 2 cases reviewed in January. These figures do not include cases that the Commission held for further investigation.

	Category 1	Category 2
RPD investigation and administrative processing	138	102
CPRC processing and review	71	51

Category 1 complaints are the most serious allegations such as criminal conduct and use of excessive force.

Category 2 complaints are the less serious allegations such as discourtesy and improper procedure.

Policy Recommendations

There were no policy recommendations made by the Commission in January 2006.

January 2006 Page 2